(ग) पानीपत में अमोनिया गैस रिसाव के कारणों की जांच करने के लिए एन० एफ० एल० द्वारा स्थापित की गयी जांच समिति ने व्यक्तिगत रूप से या सामूहिक रूप से उत्तरदायित्व निर्धारित नहीं किया क्योंकि प्रत्यक्ष रूप से ग्रस्त व्यक्ति भी दुर्घटना में मर गए। भटिण्डा में लघु दुर्घटना के लिए एन० एफ० एल० द्वारा कोई विस्तृत जांच करनी आवश्यक नहीं समझी गयी।

Exemption of Betamethasone Disodrum Sulphate from price control

2925. DR. NARREDDY THULASI REDDY:
SHRIMATI SATYA BAHIN:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Betamethasone Disodium Sulphate being used in place of Phosphate has been exempted from price control with effect from 28th Oct. 1992; and

(b) what were the salient features of R and D on account of which it was exempted from price control?

THE MINISTRY OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI EDUARDO FALEIRO): (a) Bulk Drug Betamethasone Disodium phosphate has been exempted from price control w.e.f. 28.?.92 on the basis of indigenous R and D efforts, undertaken by M/s. Glaxo Ltd.

(b) The major features of R and D efforts are (i) improvement in the production efficiency (ii) reduction in the cost of production (iii) saving in foreign exchange and (iv) production from basic stage.

Employees of IDPL charge sheeted without specifyign any reason

2926. SHRIMATI SARALA MAHESHWARI: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the replies to the Unstarred Questions 2262 dated 30th July, 1992 and 4597 dated 20th August, 1992 respectively and state:

(a) whether chargesheets were issued against to employees by the Indian Drugs and Pharmaceuticals Limited's disciplinary authorities for unauthorised absence under rule 5 (7) read with rule 25 (of IDPL CDA Rules) in November, 1989;

(b) whether the same chargesheets were issued without specifying any reasons under the signature of the Chairman under rule 5(7) read with rule 25 in May, 1990, if so, the reasons therefor;

(c) whether a notice was issued to the employees for unauthorised absence under new rule 30-a by a junior officer, if so, the reasons therefor; and

(d) whether the employees were asked to reply to the chargesheet of May, 1990 under rule 25 with rule 5(7), in January, 1991, if so, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI EDUARDO FALEIRO): (a) to (d) The employees referred to in the question were holding the posts below the Board level. The authority competent to take a decision in matters relating to such employees is the management of the company. The issues raised essentially pertain to the administration of the company. According to the information furnished by Indian Drugs and Pharmaceuticals Limited (IDPL), a charge sheet was issued to Shri Kamal Bhasin, the then Marketing Executive on 17.11.89 under the signature of General Manager (Production). Later, the same charge sheet was re-issued on 21.5.90, under the signature of the then Chairman and Managing Director who was con-

currently holding the charge of Director (Marketing), the relevant Disciplinary Auhority at the point of time. This was only with a view to removing a technical flaw. A show cause notice was issued to Shri Kamal Bhasin on 1.6.90 and thereafter he filed a Writ Petition in July, 1990 in Delhi High Court challenging the validity of Rule 20-A and thereby the show cause notice dated 1.6.90 Further section on the basis of this show cause notice dated 1-6-90 was kept in abevance as the matter was before the Court of Law. The charged officer was aked to send his written statement of defence in reply to the charge sheet dated 21.5.90 as the management was not taking action at that time on the basis of the show cause notice dated 1.6.90. The Writ Petition filed by Shri Kamal Bhasin was dismissed by the Delhi High Court on 28-1-91. Therefore, there was no simultaneous proceedings between the period 1-6-90 and the date of termination of his services i.e. March, 1991.

भोपाल गैस त्रासदी के शिकार व्यक्तियों का पुनर्वास

2927. **श्री सुरेश पचौरी:** क्या **प्रधान मंत्री** यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या भोपाल गैस त्रासदी के शिकार हुए लोगों का आर्थिक पुनर्वास करने के लिए सरकार द्वारा घोषित सभी योजनाओं/कार्यक्रमों को कार्यान्वित कर दिया गया है ;

(ख) क्या सरकार ने भोपाल गैस त्रासदी के शिकार हुए लोगों के लिए गैस कनेक्शन देने और भोपाल कोच र पेथ्रिा फैक्ट्री में 50 प्रतिशत नौकरियों देते और भोपाल में वस्त्र निर्यात एकक स्थापित करने संबंधी निर्णयों की समीक्षा की है; और

(ग) यदि हां, तो उसका ब्यौरा क्या है और इस संबंध में अब तक कितनी प्रगति हुई है ?

रसायन और उर्वरक मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री एडुआर्डो फेलेरियो): (क) और (ख) सरकार द्वारा शुरू की गई आर्थिक पुनर्वास योजनाओं सहित सभी पुनर्वास योजनाएं कार्यान्वित कर दी गयी हैं। किसी भी योजना की सरकार द्वारा पुनरीक्षा नहीं की गई है।

(ग) प्रश्न ही नहीं उठता।

उर्वरकों का उत्पादन

2928. **श्री रामनरेश यादव:** क्या **प्रधान मंत्री** यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) इस समय देश में कितने टन उर्वरक का उत्पादन हो रहा है, क्या यह उर्वरक एककों की पूर्ण उत्पादन क्षमता पर आधारित है, यदि नहीं, तो उक्त एककों की क्षमता को ध्यान में रखते हुए कितने प्रतिशत उत्पादन हो रहा है; और

(ख) फर्टिलाइजर कोर्पोरेशन के गोरखपुर एकक को चलाने के लिए सरकार ने अब तक क्या कदम उठाये हैं और यह एकक कब तक चालू हो जायेगा ?

रसायन और उर्वरक मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री एडुआर्डो फेलेरियो): (क) 1991-92 और 1992-93 (अप्रैल, 92 से फरवरी, 93) के दौरान स्थापित क्षमता, उत्पादन तथा क्षमता उपयोगिता को प्रतिशतता के ब्यौरे नीचे दिये गये हैं :—